

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,291	KARAMUK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kelly Stouffer	1762

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowable

- (1) Kelly Stouffer. (3) ____.
 (2) Bob Bodi. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2007

Time: 4:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 7-8 and 14-17

Prior art documents discussed:

Gareth Davies "Vapour Permeable Paint" (cited on enclosed PTO-892)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and applicant's representative discussed that independent claim 18 and its dependants were allowable in present form, but independent claim 1 would be allowable if it recited the process in terms of a hearing device, as the claim without that limitation would have been met by applying vapour permeable paint to a house. An examiner amendment for claim 1 was agreed and also for claims 4, 7-8, and 14-17 which had minor issues that were agreed to be corrected in an examiner's amendment..